The 1994 Sefidabeh earthquakes in eastern Iran: blind thrusting and bedding-plane slip on a growing anticline, and active tectonics of the Sistan suture zone
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SUMMARY
In 1994 a sequence of five earthquakes with $M_\text{w}$ 5.5–6.2 occurred in the Sistan belt of eastern Iran, all of them involving motion on blind thrusts with centroid depths of 5–10 km. Coseismic ruptures at the surface involved bedding-plane slip on a growing hanging-wall anticline displaying geomorphological evidence of uplift and lateral propagation. The 1994 earthquakes were associated with a NW-trending thrust system that splays off the northern termination of a major N–S right-lateral strike-slip fault. Elevation changes along the anticline ridge suggest that displacement on the underlying thrust dies out to the NW, away from its intersection with the strike-slip fault. This is a common fault configuration in eastern Iran and accommodates oblique NE–SW shortening across the N–S deforming zone, probably by anticlockwise rotations about a vertical axis. This style of fault kinematics may be transitional to a more evolved state that involves partitioning of the strike-slip and convergent motion onto separate subparallel faults.
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1 INTRODUCTION
In February 1994 a sequence of four earthquakes with magnitudes ($M_\text{w}$) 5.5–6.1 occurred in the space of a week in a remote area of eastern Iran. Although minor surface ruptures accompanied these earthquakes, they all involved blind thrust faulting beneath a growing anticline ridge. There is considerable interest in blind thrust and reverse faults, particularly following earthquakes on such structures in California at Coalinga (1983), Whittier Narrows (1987) and Northridge (1994). One controversial issue is whether the relatively steep reverse or thrust faults in the upper seismogenic crust flatten at depth into aseismic, subhorizontal ductile shear zones in the lower crust, to produce an overall geometry analogous to the ramp-and-flat style familiar at shallower levels in fold-and-thrust belts (e.g. Namson & Davies 1988; Shaw & Suppe 1994), or whether they continue into the lower crust at a relatively steep dip (Yeats 1993). This issue has implications for palinspastic reconstructions and for calculating shortening rates from surface deformation (e.g Yeats et al. 1997). The other important issue is recognizing these structures before they move, which is essential for both tectonic and seismic hazard evaluation (e.g. Bullard & Lettis 1993; Lettis et al. 1997). In California, where blind thrusting earthquakes have occurred in oil-producing areas, there are subsurface geological data available to help. In other places we are necessarily dependent on geomorphological indicators, which are a focus of this paper.

The 1994 Sefidabeh earthquakes were associated with clear geomorphological features, superbly preserved and exposed in the desert climate of eastern Iran. They therefore offer an opportunity to combine seismological and geomorphological observations to see how the surface deformation develops in response to continued motion on the blind thrusts at depth. As in California, these active thrusts occur adjacent to active strike-slip faults, thus raising the question of how these fault types interact and contribute to the regional deformation.

2 GEOLOGICAL AND TECTONIC SETTING

2.1 Topography
The Sefidabeh area is located in the central, narrowest, part of the Sistan ranges, which trend N–S along the border between Iran and Afghanistan (Figs 1 and 2), separating the Dasht-e-Lut (lit. ‘barren desert’) in the west from the Dasht-e-Margo (lit. ‘desert of death’) in the east. The highest point in the area
Figure 1. (a) Seismicity of Iran 1964–1990. Note how the cut-off in seismicity follows the NE and E borders of Iran. The Zagros is marked by Z, the Alborz by A, the Kopëh Dagh by K, the relatively aseismic central Iran block by C and the Lut block by L. The 1994 Sefidâbeh epicentral region is marked with a white circle on both maps. (b) A velocity field for Iran showing how the NNE motion of Arabia relative to Asia is absorbed in Iran. The distribution of velocities within Iran is estimated from the spatial variation in the style of strain rates indicated by earthquakes (from Jackson et al. 1995). Note the expected right-lateral shear and shortening along the eastern border of Iran. The boxed region is the area shown in Fig. 2(a).

Figure 2. (a) Regional summary map of faults and shallow earthquake focal mechanisms. Mechanisms constrained by body wave inversion (various sources) are in black, dark grey spheres are Harvard CMT solutions, and light grey spheres are first-motion fault plane solutions from Jackson & McKenzie (1984). Grey circles are epicentres of 1900–1963 earthquakes with $M_r \geq 5.7$. Subcrustal earthquakes associated with the Makran subduction zone have been removed. Faults are from Berberian & Yeats (1999). The boxed region is the area of Fig. 2(b). (b) Summary map of the faulting, topography and focal mechanisms in the southern part of the Sistan suture zone. Large white circles and their accompanying black focal spheres marked 1–4 are the locations and mechanisms of the four main earthquakes in the Sefidâbeh sequence, whose source parameters are determined in this paper. Grey focal spheres are additional Harvard CMT solutions. Small white circles are other earthquakes located by Engdahl et al. (1998) in the period 1964–1995. The pattern of active faults is marked by solid lines: all of those trending N–S are right-lateral strike-slip faults, and those trending NW–SE are thrusts or reverse faults. The Kahurak Fault is marked K, the West and East Neh faults by WN and EN, the Zahedan Fault by Z, the Asagi Fault by A and the Bandan Fault by B.
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is Palang Kuh (+2029 m), about 25 km SSE of Sefidabeh, and the lowest (+471 m) is on the fringes of the Hamun-e-Hirmand (lit. ‘watery’) depression 50 km to the east. Sefidabeh itself lies at the foot of an asymmetric NW-trending ridge, steep on its NE side and rising 100–200 m above the Sistan plain near the village (Figs 3 and 4), but decreasing in height along strike to the NW. The region has a low annual rainfall and is barren, inhospitable and sparsely populated.

2.2 Geological setting

The 1994 epicentral region lies in the eastern part of the Sistan ‘flysch and coloured melange belt’, which is a deformed forearc basin recording the destruction of an arm of the Neo-Tethys during Senonian–Palaeocene times and consequent collision of the Afghan (in the east) and Lut (in the west) cratonic blocks (Camp & Griffis 1982; Tiritul et al. 1983). It consists of volcanic, volcanioclastic and flysch deposits, and onlaps the western margin of the Afghan block. The basin developed as a result of early Late (? ) Cretaceous rifting between the once-connected Lut and Afghan cratonic blocks, but was relatively short-lived, eventually closing as the two blocks converged again in a NE–SW direction (in the present geographic orientation). This convergence was responsible for considerable folding and faulting in pre-Neogene times and also for emergence of the area during the Late Eocene to Oligocene. A dominantly Oligocene magmatic event is represented by widespread alkali volcanic rocks and minor intrusions that appear to be related to major

\[ \text{Figure 3. (Detailed map of the epicentral region of the 1994 Sefidabeh earthquakes. The discontinuous exposures of coseismic bedding-plane slip in 1994 occurred along the thick broken lines marked to the NW and SE of the abandoned Sefidabeh lake. The line of the Sefidabeh blind thrust is marked at the base of the hangingwall ridge (see Fig. 12b). The continuous thin lines are early Tertiary syncline (crosses) and anticline (ticks) axes. Abandoned older Quaternary alluvial fans (exact age unknown), with palaeocurrent directions deduced from air photographs, are marked by stippled regions with broken arrows. Kalat-e-Haji is marked Kalat. The inset at the bottom is a SW–NE topographic profile through Sefidabeh along the line shown on the map, with the inverted triangle marking the location of 1994 bedding-plane slip.} \]
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Figure 4. (a) LANDSAT TM image of the Sefidabeh region. The uplifted lake deposits near Sefidabeh are marked L and appear in white. Regions of minor N–S right-lateral strike-slip faulting, which offset volcanioclastic rocks, are marked S. The prominent line through Sefidabeh and Old Sefidabeh is the road from Neh to Zahedan. (b) Physiographic and structural map of the same region. Symbols as in Figs 3 and 13. The dashed N–S lines are minor strike-slip faults, some of them marked in Fig. 4(a), which are probably not active now (see text).
transcurrent faults. High-angle reverse faults with NW strikes are found in the cores of the tightest folds, which are commonly isoclinal in flysch. Early to mid-Tertiary deformation involved thrusts, folds and reverse faults with a (present-day) NW trend that are associated with, and sometimes cut by, a conjugate set of strike-slip faults, with N–S right-lateral faults dominant over smaller SE- to E-striking left-lateral faults (e.g. Freund 1970). Some of these same structures were probably reactivated in post-Miocene times as a result of the final Miocene–Pliocene collision between Arabia and Eurasia along the Zagros mountains in SW Iran (Freund 1970; Berberian 1981; Berberian & King 1981).

2.3 Active tectonics

Recent and active deformation in Sistan is dominated by N- to NNE-striking right-lateral strike-slip faults and NW-striking thrusts, related to the indentation of Iran by the Arabian shield (Figs 1 and 2). The Iran–Afghan border in Sistan is also a tectonic boundary, separating the distributed deformation in Iran from the virtually aseismic region of western Afghanistan, which is essentially part of the stable Eurasian plate. Convergence between Eurasia and Arabia is about 40 mm yr$^{-1}$ at 60°E, some of which is taken up in the Zagros mountains in SW Iran, while the rest is accommodated mostly in the seismic belts of the Alborz and Kopeh Dagh in northern Iran, requiring the relatively aseismic region of central Iran to move N–NNE relative to Afghanistan (Fig. 1b). The N–S right-lateral shear component between central Iran and Afghanistan is taken up partly along the border in Sistan and partly on the western side of the Lut block. At the latitude of Sefidabeh the principal active structures are very large N- to NNE-striking right-lateral strike-slip faults such as the Zahadan, East and West Neh and Kahunak faults (Fig. 2a), with abundant evidence of displaced drainage systems and alluvial fans (e.g. Freund 1970; Camp & Griffis 1982; Pirul et al. 1983). However, NW-striking thrusts indicate a significant shortening component across the belt as well. At its northern end, near 34°N, the Sistan belt ends in a system of E–W left-lateral faults that are thought to accommodate the N–S shear component by clockwise rotation (Jackson & McKenzie 1984; Jackson et al. 1995). To the south, near 27°N, the Sistan ranges merge with the E–W coastal ranges of the Makran, where the Arabian Sea is subducted northwards (e.g. Byrne et al. 1992).

3 THE 1994 SEFIDABEH SEQUENCE

3.1 Macroseismic effects

The 1994 sequence of earthquakes at Sefidabeh (lit. ‘white water’) started with a small foreshock on February 22, exactly 24 hr before the first large event of $M_s$ 6.1 on February 23 at 08:02 GMT (11:30 local time). Four more events of $M_s$ 5.5–6.2 followed over the next five days (Table 1). The first large event of February 23 caused six deaths (three in Sefidabeh and three in Kalat-e-Haji, 2 km SW of Sefidabeh) and injured 10 people, with damage to an estimated 300 buildings (200 were destroyed). The very low death toll for such an earthquake in Iran is related to the local time of the event and to the sparse population. Sefidabeh, on the Nehbandan–Zahadan road, is one of the few settlements of any size (population ~200) and was within 20 km of the instrumentally determined epicentre. Maximum intensities of about VII- (MMI) were observed at Sefidabeh and Kalat-e-Haji, but with such sparse data meaningful isoseismal maps cannot be drawn. The earthquakes fractured (VI) some buildings at Madeh Kariz (17 km NW of Sefidabeh), Karabasan (25 km to the NW), Umareh (29 km SW) and Mok Sorkh (44 km SE). They were strongly felt (V) at Khunik (54 km NW), Neh (80 km NW), Zabol (92 km E) and Sarahi (95 km SE).

3.2 Coseismic surface ruptures

On February 25, two days after the first damaging earthquake, discontinuous surface ruptures were observed in a zone 9.5 km long and 20 m wide trending NNW along the crest of the ridge SW of Sefidabeh village (Figs 3 and 4). It is not known in which of the first two events these ruptures formed. It is clear

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Lat.</th>
<th>Long.</th>
<th>Depth</th>
<th>$m_b$</th>
<th>$M_s$</th>
<th>$M_s$</th>
<th>Strike</th>
<th>Dip</th>
<th>Rake</th>
<th>TF</th>
<th>$sv$</th>
<th>$R$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1994.04.03</td>
<td>08:02:04</td>
<td>30.803</td>
<td>60.570</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>6.05</td>
<td>1.51</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1994.04.03</td>
<td>00:11:14</td>
<td>30.821</td>
<td>60.529</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>6.20</td>
<td>2.54</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1994.04.06</td>
<td>02:31:11</td>
<td>30.781</td>
<td>60.550</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>5.95</td>
<td>1.06</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1994.04.06</td>
<td>11:13:57</td>
<td>30.822</td>
<td>60.554</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>5.49</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1994.04.08</td>
<td>11:54:33</td>
<td>30.768</td>
<td>60.551</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>5.49</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>H</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Epicentres are from Engdahl et al. (1998). Magnitudes ($m_b$ and $M_s$) are from the USGS. Seismic moment ($M_b$) is in units of $10^{15}$ N m. TF is the duration of the time function in seconds, and $sv$ is the slip vector azimuth, assuming that the SW-dipping nodal plane is the fault plane. The last column gives the origin of the earthquake source parameters on each line: from body wave modelling in this paper (B, shown in bold type), or from the CMT solutions by Harvard (H) or the USGS (U). The asterisk after the Harvard CMT depth indicates that it was fixed at 15 km in the inversion.
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The dominant characteristic of the surface ruptures is beddaine-plane slip, producing extensional features on the ridge. From the seismological and geomorphological evidence (see below), it appears that these ruptures formed in response to the growth of an antcline above a blind thrust. The mini-grabens in particular are reminiscent of those formed on the hangingwall antcline ridges at El Asnam in 1980 (Yielding et al. 1981) and Spital in 1989 (Philip et al. 1992), although in those earthquakes beddaine-plane slip was less important. Coseismic beddaine-plane slip has been observed following other earthquakes in Iran, notably at Tabas in 1978 (Berberian 1979b). At Sefidahabeh, the deformation responsible for the isoclinal folds with subvertical axes in the flsych pre-dates the formation of the ridge itself. It is difficult to relate the vertical beddaine-plane slip seen in the 1994 coseismic ruptures to any particular style of thrust faulting deeper down: pervasive simple shear of the hangingwall may accommodate a change in fault dip at depth (see White et al. 1986, their Fig. 9), but does not require it. In any case, the early Tertiary deformation that caused tight isoclinal folding in the soft shale and flsych formations produced more discontinuous faulting within the harder limestones and tuffs (Freund 1970), and there is no certainty that the vertical beddaine-plane shear seen at the surface extends to any great depth.

3.3 Seismology and earthquake source parameters

To provide better constraints on the source parameters of the main earthquakes in the sequence we analysed the body waveforms of the four largest events. We took digital broad-band records from stations of the GDSN in the epicentral range 30°–90° and convolved them with a filter that reproduces the bandwidth of the old WWSSN 15–100 long-period instruments. We then used the MT5 version (Zwick et al. 1995) of McCaffrey & Abers’s (1988) and McCaffrey et al.’s (1991) algorithm, which inverts the P and SH waveforms to obtain the strike, dip, rake, centroid depth, seismic moment and source time function. We always constrained the source to be a double couple. The method and approach we used are described in detail elsewhere (e.g. Nabelek 1984; McCaffrey & Nabelek 1987; Molnar & Lyon-Caen 1989; Taymaz et al. 1991) and are too routine to justify repetition here.

The results of our inversions are shown in Figs 6–9 and Table 1. All four of the earthquakes that we analysed, and in fact the additional Harvard CMT solution for an aftershock on February 23 (Table 1), have similar mechanisms involving reverse faulting with a NW strike and a nodal plane dipping SW at 29–45°. These five earthquakes all had epicentres (relocated by Engdahl et al. 1998) within 5 km of each other about 15 km south of Sefidahabeh. The Sefidahabeh ridge is the dominant active structure with a NW–SE orientation in the epicentral region, so that even allowing for a possible location error of 10–15 km, which is typical for instrumental epicentres in Iran after 1970 (Berberian 1979a), the epicentres and the location of

Figure 5. Field photographs of coseismic surface ruptures, all taken in February 1994. (a)–(c) Views looking SE on the Sefidahabeh ridge crest 1 km south of Sefidahabeh village. Coseismic ruptures all involved slip on subvertical bedding planes in Palaeocene flsych, forming mini-grabens. (d) Same region as (a)–(c), looking NW. Note the saddle-like morphology of the ridge crest, caused by previous beddaine-plane slip and graben formation. (e) View NW along the Sefidahabeh ridge crest, about 2 km S of Sefidahabeh, which is visible in the background. Note the vertical bedding. (f) Same region as (e), looking SE. Single scarp in vertical flsych. (g) View SE along the Sefidahabeh ridge crest from beyond the position of (f). Fractures in flsych and a saddle-like morphology. (h) Fissure formed within subvertical bed of soft tuff. Sefidahabeh ridge, view SE.
Figure 6. P (top) and SH (bottom) observed (solid) and synthetic (dashed) waveforms for the 23 February earthquake (Table 1). Station positions on focal spheres are identified by capital letters and arranged clockwise starting from north. STF is the source time function. Vertical ticks on the seismograms indicate the inversion window. Numbers beneath the header line are strike, dip, rake, centroid depth (km) and moment (N m). Stations were weighted according to azimuthal density and then S seismogram weights were halved, to compensate for their larger amplitudes. The P seismogram at ATD has an anomalous amplitude, probably because of an incorrectly reported magnification. This station was given half its normal weight in the inversions in Figs 6–9.

Figure 7. Observed and synthetic seismograms for the 24 February earthquake (Table 1). Layout and conventions are the same as in Fig. 6.

greater depth (line 2). This is because of two effects. First, the time function compensates for the change in pulse width as the delay time between the direct ray and the surface reflections changes with depth. Second, the direct P wave and the surface reflections (pP and sP) generally interfere destructively at shallow depths because they have opposite polarities, requiring greater moment to achieve the observed amplitude on the seismogram. We carried out all the inversions in a half-space with \( V_p = 6.5 \text{ km s}^{-1} \) and \( V_s = 3.7 \text{ km s}^{-1} \). Realistic changes in the velocity model make little change to the source orientation or depth, as shown in line 4 of Fig. 10, where the inversion used a 4-km-thick layer of \( V_p = 6.0 \text{ km s}^{-1} \) above a half-space of \( V_p = 6.8 \text{ km s}^{-1} \). However, there is a significant change in the moment estimate associated with the different velocity structure and reflection coefficients. Thus we estimate the uncertainty in moment to be \( \pm 0.2 \times 10^{18} \) or \( \sim 15 \) per cent.

The mechanisms for events 1, 3 and 4 (Figs 6, 8 and 9) of the sequence all share a steep NE-dipping nodal plane passing close to stations in the NE on the focal sphere. This leads to a significant trade-off between strike and rake on the SW-dipping plane (the one of interest to us under the Sefidabeh ridge), illustrated in Fig. 11. Fig. 11 follows the format of Fig. 10, but with the strike of the SW-dipping plane fixed at values up to \( \pm 40^\circ \) different from the final result (middle line), while all other parameters are allowed to change in the inversion. It can be seen that the rake changes systematically with the strike, so as to maintain the steep NE-dipping nodal plane in its same position on the focal sphere. In all five inversions in Fig. 11 the

strike of the NE-dipping plane, and hence the slip vector azimuth if the SW-dipping plane is the fault plane, varies by less than ±10°. Thus although we estimate the uncertainty in strike (+40°) and rake (+30°) to be quite large, the slip vector is well resolved to be in direction 047° ±10°, which is important for our later discussion. In fact, the strike of the Sefidabeh ridge is 145°, which is very close to the 143° obtained in our final inversion. Similar tests show that the dip of the SW-dipping plane is tightly constrained to ±5°, controlled by the immobility of the NE-dipping plane in the inversion.

All the events of the sequence have similar focal mechanism orientations (Table 1), in particular with the dips of the SW-dipping planes in the range 29°–45°, slip vector azimuths (assuming the fault plane dips SW) in the range 36°–46°, and centroid depths in the range 5–10 km. There is no correlation of dip with depth that we can resolve, and no indication from these earthquakes that the reverse faults at shallow depths flatten onto horizontal surfaces within the seismogenic upper crust. The source parameters we obtained from body wave inversion are similar to those obtained by the Harvard and USGS CMT inversions (Table 1), which are within our estimated uncertainties except for centroid depth and moment. We expect our depths to be better resolved because we used higher frequencies, and differences in moment are probably related to different velocity structures and depths (see Fig. 10).

3.4 Geomorphology and fault growth

The Sefidabeh ridge contains several Late Quaternary geomorphological features associated with its tectonic activity and uplift. Across-ridge profiles are asymmetric, with an abrupt, steep NE side, and typically have an eroded saddle-like morphology observed where mini-graben form due to slip on bedding surfaces. In addition, rivers crossing the ridge incise gorges, leaving abandoned fans and terraces on the uplifted SW side (e.g. near 30°52′N 60°35′E in Fig. 4a). Large ancient landslides, which may have been triggered by earthquakes, are present along the steep ridge flank at 30°50′N 60°34′E, 15 km SE of Sefidabeh (Fig. 4b). However, by far the most dramatic feature is an abandoned playa with lake bed deposits (marked L in Figs 4a and b) 1 km SW of Sefidabeh village itself (Fig. 12). These deposits were formed by an ancient stream that once crossed the ridge and was blocked, presumably in part by uplift of the ridge. The light-coloured lake sediments are restricted to the ridge and are now ~70 m above the Sefidabeh plain and also above the level of the present Bugow river, which currently flows around the NW end of the ridge (Figs 4a and b). Palaeodrainage through the gap in the ridge now occupied by the lake deposits was responsible for the formation of abandoned fans and stream channels NE of Sefidabeh, which have lost their catchment. The lake deposits contain travertine that can potentially be dated. We observed no obvious folding of the lake sediments as a result of antiline growth, but this is not surprising; the wavelength of surface folding depends on the down-dip length of the underlying blind reverse fault and is therefore likely to be ~10–15 km (e.g. Savage & Hastie 1966), which is far broader than the narrow exposure on the Sefidabeh ridge itself. Other stream diversions with abandoned fans and stream channels are present along the Siahkuh river north of Bugow and the Old Sefidabeh river south of modern Sefidabeh.
Figure 10. Tests to check the inversion for the 23 February event (Fig. 6) for the sensitivity to centroid depth. Synthetic seismograms are dashed, observed are solid lines. The first line contains seismograms from Fig. 6 and the final inversion result. P and SH focal spheres are shown, with the time function and numbers showing the strike, dip, rake, depth and moment. In the second and third lines the depth has been fixed at 12 and 3 km. In the fourth line a different velocity structure was used, with a layer 4 km thick of $V_p = 6.0$ km \(s^{-1}\) above a half-space of $V_p = 6.8$ km \(s^{-1}\). In all other inversions a half-space of $V_p = 6.5$ km \(s^{-1}\) was used. See text for discussion.

(Fig. 4b). A tentative evolution of the palaeochannels and alluvial fans with respect to the Sefidabeh ridge uplift is illustrated in Fig. 13.

The diversion of the Butgow and Siahkhu rivers to the NW, around the end of the growing hangingwall anticline ridge, closely resembles the drainage patterns described on other active hangingwall anticlines in Otago, New Zealand (Jackson et al. 1996), the western Transverse Ranges of California (Keller et al. 1998, 1999) and the Tell Atlas of Algeria (Boudiaf et al. 1998), all of which have been attributed to lateral growth or propagation of the underlying fault with time.

Without geodetic data to determine coseismic elevation changes at Sefidabeh, we can only estimate crudely the likely changes resulting from these earthquakes. Let us consider the first main event of the sequence and assume arbitrarily a down-dip rupture width \(W\) of 10 km, corresponding to a slip on a plane dipping 30° between depths of 4.5 and 9.5 km (either side of the centroid at 7 km). If we also assume a ratio of average slip to length of $5 \times 10^{-5}$, which is typical of intraplate earthquakes (e.g. Scholz et al. 1986) and a rigidity of $3 \times 10^{10}$ N m$^{-2}$, then from the seismic moment of $1.5 \times 10^{48}$ N m we would estimate a fault length \(L\) of 10 km and an average slip of 0.5 m. Since $L \propto W^{1/2}$ in our calculation, a change in our assumed value of \(W\) does not greatly affect these estimates. In this case our assumption of \(W = 10\) km gives a fault with a roughly 1:1 aspect ratio of dimension 10 km, compatible with the time function duration of $\sim 3$ s in the body wave inversion (Table 1), assuming a typical rupture velocity of $\sim 3.5$ km \(s^{-1}\).

The morphology of the Sefidabeh ridge suggests the underlying fault segment is $\sim 15$ km long, with Sefidabeh roughly at its centre, ending near Old Sefidabeh in the SE (Fig. 4), where another segment in a right-stepping en echelon position (the Palangkhu Thrust, Fig. 4b) continues this orientation of thrusting further SE to the Zahedan Fault (Figs 2 and 14a).

Thus it is probable that slip on the fault underlying the Sefidabeh ridge occurs in increments of the order of 0.5–1.0 m, producing uplift of the order of 0.25–0.5 m in each event, and requiring 150–300 earthquakes to uplift the lake deposits by 70 m. If, during this time, the ridge has propagated from Sefidabeh to its present terminus 7 km to the NW, then the propagation rate per earthquake is of the order of 25–50 m. Perhaps coincidentally, these values for incremental growth are close to those estimated for fault segments of similar length in Nevada (30–50 m; Jackson & Leeder 1994) and New Zealand (10–50 m; Jackson et al. 1996) using similar geomorphological arguments. More sophisticated estimates can also be made, which allow for the incremental growth in fault length as well as for the observed scaling of coseismic displacement with length. In Cowie & Scholz’s (1992a) theoretical model of fault growth, the number of earthquakes \(N\) required to increase the length of a fault from an initial value \(L_0\) to a length \(L\) is

$$N = \frac{\log L/\lambda}{\log (1 + x/\gamma)},$$

where \(x\) is the ratio of the mean slip during an earthquake to the rupture length, and \(\gamma\) is the ratio of the mean accumulated displacement on a fault to its length. The ratio \(r = x/\gamma\) is the proportional increase in fault length during each earthquake.
The expected value of $\alpha$ is about $5 \times 10^{-5}$ for continental earthquakes (Scholz et al. 1986), and the expected value of $\gamma$ for faults of length $\sim 10 - 20$ km is $\sim 10^{-2}$ (Cowie & Scholz 1992b) The ratio $r$ is then $\sim 1.5 \times 10^{-3}$ or 0.15 per cent. Thus in this model the Sefidabeh Fault segment, currently $\sim 15$ km long, would be expected to grow by 23 m per earthquake, a number that is not significantly different from the more simplistic estimate based on geomorphology. If we consider the propagation of the last 7 km at the NW end of the Sefidabeh ridge, then $L / L_0 \sim 1.9$, and the expected number of earthquakes required to achieve this growth is 420 (from eq. 1).

These very crude calculations suggest, first, that lateral growth per earthquake is too small to recognize after any single event, and second, that the lake beds have been uplifted by hundreds of earthquakes and may be of the order of 0.1–1.0 Myr old if recurrence times are of the order of 1000 yr.

3.5 Other earthquakes in the area
Given the remote nature of this region, its historical earthquake record is almost certainly incomplete. Large earthquakes with surface rupture are known further north in the Sistan suture zone at Nauzad in 1493, on another NW-striking thrust (Fig. 14b, and Ambraseys & Melville 1982), and in the present century along the N–S right-lateral Abiz Fault, including the $M_w$ 7.2 Zirkuh earthquake of 1997 (Berberian et al. 1999).

In this southern area, a large earthquake near Nosratabad (Fig. 2b) in 1838 is thought to have produced N–S ground ruptures, presumably on the right-lateral Nosratabad Fault (Ambraseys & Melville 1982; Berberian & Yeats 1999).

Other evidence for past earthquakes is conjectural. The ancient settlement of Shahr-e-Sukhthe (lit. ‘the burnt city’) SW of Zabol, which flourished around 2000 BC, was abandoned when the Hirmand river altered its course by 90° for reasons that are unknown, and may not be tectonic in origin (Matheson 1976).

In modern instrumental times the Sefidabeh region has been relatively quiet. Two other Harvard CMT solutions (Fig. 2b) show N–S right-lateral strike-slip faulting near Neh on 1990.03.15 ($M_w$ 5.1) and thrusting on a similar trend to the 1994 earthquakes on 1992.09.11 east of Nosratabad ($M_w$ 5.3).

4 RELATIONS BETWEEN STRIKE-SLIP FAULTING AND THRUSTING IN EASTERN IRAN
The southern Sistan ranges in the Sefidabeh region accommodate a combination of N–S right-lateral shear and shortening between the Dasht-e-Lut and Afghanistan (e.g. Fig 1b). South of 34°N, this motion is achieved by N– to NNE-striking right-lateral strike-slip faults and NW–SE-striking thrust or reverse faults such as that at Sefidabeh. These faults are clear
Figure 12. Two views of the uplifted lake deposits on the Sefidabeh ridge. (a) View N across the lake playa from the Sefidabeh ridge. In the distance on the left is the northern part of the ridge, with the telecommunication tower. On the right is Sefidabeh village. The lake deposits form a flat light-coloured surface occupying the gap in the ridge, now about 70 m above the Sefidabeh plain. The people in the foreground are a few hundred metres north of the location of Figs 5(a)–(d). (b) View SW to the Sefidabeh ridge from the main road at Sefidabeh (see Fig. 4). On the right is Sefidabeh village, and behind it are the light-coloured uplifted lake beds forming a low dip in the ridge skyline.
in the satellite imagery, air photographs, geomorphology and geology (e.g. Freund 1970; Camp & Griffiths 1982; Tirrul et al. 1983; Berberian et al. 1999; Berberian & Yeats 1999), and earthquake focal mechanisms show only these two types, with slip vectors directed N–S on the strike-slip faults and NE–SW on the reverse faults (Fig. 2a). Although the two fault (and earthquake) types share the same NE–SW axis of principal shortening, the kinematics of this fault system are not straightforward and are the subject of this section.

Freund (1970) realized that the association of strike-slip and thrust faults in Sistan was likely to involve rotations about a vertical axis. In his scheme, the right-lateral strike-slip faults rotate anticlockwise away from the roughly NE–SW direction of maximum shortening. We believe that his scheme is correct in principle, and we can now examine more closely the relation between the strike-slip and thrust faults. An important feature of the roughly N–S strike-slip faults in Sistan is that they are not continuous along the whole length of the zone from 28 to 34°N, even though individual faults commonly exceed 100 km in length. Many of the thrusts in Sistan splay from these strike-slip faults and die away with distance from them, with some of the most prominent thrusts occurring at the ends of the strike-slip faults, which can be very abrupt. The Sefidabeh Thrust is a good example, splaying NW from the northern terminus of the right-lateral Zahedan Fault, and dying away to the NW (Fig. 14a). The highest topography is associated with the junction of the strike-slip and thrust faults at Palang Kuh (+2029 m), with the ridge falling to ~900 m near Sefidabeh itself. A similar geometry is seen further north at 33°N (Fig. 14b), where the Nauzad Fault (responsible for the 1493 earthquake) splays NW off the north end of the Purang Fault system (Berberian et al. 1999). Thrust faults splaying off the east side of the strike-slip faults strike SE and also die out in that direction. Examples include the Bandan Fault (Fig. 2h), splaying off the East Neh Fault, and the southern terminus of the Abiz Fault near 33°N, where the 125-km-long N–S strike-slip rupture in the 1997 Zirkuh earthquake terminated in a SE-striking thrust (Berberian et al. 1999).

When viewed as a whole, the Sistan region of eastern Iran is simply a N–S belt about 100 km wide that accommodates NE–SW shortening. It could accommodate this motion by a system involving only NW–SE thrust or reverse faults with NE slip vectors, and there are indeed plenty of thrusts with this orientation and slip vector. Yet the striking feature of the fault system in Sistan is the presence of long N- to NNE-striking right-lateral strike-slip faults terminating in relatively short thrusts that splay off their ends and die away with distance from them. The role of major intracontinental strike-slip faults in convergent settings is usually either (a) lateral expulsion of material along strike, (b) partitioning of oblique convergence into strike-slip and thrust components, or (c) rotation about a

Figure 13. A tentative evolution of the stream system near Sefidabeh in response to the growing hangingwall anticline above the Sefidabeh blind thrust and the northward propagation of the thrust tip. (a) The present-day configuration, showing the abandoned lake bed near Sefidabeh. (b) The situation just prior to the abandonment of the lake, with future (i.e. present-day) stream channels dotted. (c) An older Quaternary configuration (exact age unknown), where the hangingwall ridge was lower and the alluvial fan at Sefidabeh was still active. This figure is to illustrate the nature of the changes that occurred to the drainage system, rather than the timing. It is tentative because we do not know that changes to the Old Sefidabeh, Butgow and Siahkuh rivers (identified in Fig. 4b) happened simultaneously; indeed, it is perhaps unlikely that they did so.
Figure 14. Two LANDSAT TM images showing the abrupt termination of major N–S right-lateral strike-slip faults in the southern Sistan suture zone at their junctions (marked C) with thrusts splaying off to the NW. (a) The northern end of the Zahedan Fault and its junction with the SE end of the Sefidabeh Thrust system (see also Figs 2b and 4a). (b) The northern end of the Purang strike-slip system and its junction with the Nauzad Thrust, near 33°N (see Berberian et al. 1999).
vertical axis. In Sistan, the long right-lateral strike-slip faults cut across the general trend of the belt (Fig. 2a) with NNE–SSW slip vectors that are not parallel to the NE–SW slip vectors on the thrusts. In addition, there are no major E–W left-lateral conjugate strike-slip faults south of 34°N (Fig. 2). These geometric features suggest that south of 34°N the overall NE–SW convergence is achieved by the N–S right-lateral strike-slip faults rotating anticlockwise relative to the Lut and Afghan blocks (Fig. 2), and that thrusts such as those at Sefidabeh and Nauzad accommodate this rotational deformation at their ends. This geometry is illustrated in Fig. 15 and is similar to that described in Mongolia by Bayasgalan et al. (1999). The key feature of this pattern is that the thrusts splaying from the strike-slip faults allow the rotating strike-slip faults to be spatially mixed with other NW–SE thrusts that do not need to rotate. Thus we concur with Freund (1970) that anticlockwise rotations about a vertical axis are an essential feature of the deformation in Sistan.

However, the cartoon in Fig. 15 is a simplification of what must occur. Since the slip vectors on the thrusts and strike-slip faults are different, the blocks bounding the strike-slip faults cannot be rigid and must deform internally. Thus, although the decrease in displacement on the thrusts with distance from the strike-slip fault terminations implies rigid rotations about poles near the ends of the thrusts, this interpretation is almost certainly too simplistic (see Bayasgalan et al. 1999). Moreover, the strike-slip faults do not mark the edges of the deforming zone, which would prevent them from rotating, nor do they bound rigid blocks. Certainly, a great deal of deformation is seen away from the major strike-slip and thrust faults (Fig. 2 and Freund 1970), but it is not easy to distinguish structures that are active now from earlier Tertiary deformation. For example, the region SW of Sefidabeh contains numerous N–S minor right-lateral strike-slip faults (Fig 4a and b), visible mostly in more competent limestone and volcaniclastic rocks. These minor faults mostly terminate in isoclinal folds within the flysch and probably pre-date the formation of the Sefidabeh ridge. There is no indication that they cut Late Quaternary fan or outwash surfaces. The need for internal deformation is reduced if the strike-slip faults have a reverse component, but there is little indication of this in the earthquake mechanisms (see also Berberian et al. 1999). On the other hand, the N–S strike-slip faults are associated with N–S mountain ranges, which suggests that shortening does occur, though not necessarily on the strike-slip faults themselves.

The kinematic arrangement in Sistan is different from those seen in many other continental regions of oblique shortening. ‘Partitioning’ or separation of the oblique motion into its strike-slip and convergent components on subparallel faults with orthogonal slip vectors is seen in a number of places such as central California (Mount & Suppe 1987), Papua New Guinea (Abers & McCaffrey 1988) and western Pakistan (Lawrence et al. 1992). All of these places are characterized by major throughgoing strike-slip faults, unlike the faults that abruptly end in Sistan, and do not require rotations about a vertical axis. Rotations are known in the western Transverse Ranges of California (e.g. Luyendyk et al. 1980), but in a clockwise sense within a right-lateral shear setting on a system of thrusts that are not connected to strike-slip faults in the same way as they are in Sistan (e.g. Molnar & Gipson 1994). Bayasgalan et al. (1999) described similar fault configurations in Mongolia to those seen in Sistan. They make the point that the strike-slip terminations that end in thrusts may be temporary if the strike-slip faults grow past the thrusts to link up with other fault segments beyond (see also Meyer et al. 1998). This process will lead to the formation of longer, more continuous, throughgoing strike-slip faults, which will eventually rotate to become parallel to the boundaries of the deforming belt. At that point a separation of the strike-slip and convergent components of motion across the zone could occur, with the thrusts perhaps rotating (clockwise in Sistan) into a configuration parallel to the strike-slip faults and also becoming longer. Seen in this light, the Sistan belt of eastern Iran may be in an early stage of development on the way to the ‘partitioned’ configuration seen in western Pakistan, where the N–S right-lateral Chaman Fault is adjacent to the long N–S thrusts of the Kirthar ranges (e.g. Lawrence et al. 1992). A similar development involving the rotation of thrust faults seems to have occurred adjacent to the San Andreas Fault in central California (Miller 1998).

Thus the style of faulting in oblique convergent zones such as Sistan may depend on the availability of throughgoing strike-slip faults, which, in turn, may depend on the total amount of strike-slip motion across the zone. Arabia has moved about 200 km north into Iran since the closure of the Zagros suture in the Pliocene, but only a fraction of that has to be accommodated in Sistan, because some is taken up by shortening in
the Zagros and by other N–S strike-slip faults west of the Dasht-e-Lut. Faulting in Sistan may still be dominated by reactivation of relatively discontinuous structures inherited from the Eocene closure of the suture zone.

5 CONCLUSIONS
The 1994 Sefidabeh earthquake sequence was significant for two reasons. First, it provided a fine example of the geo-morphological features at the surface associated with blind thrusting at depth, including insights into how such features evolve with time. Examples of this sort increase our ability to recognize active structures that are important for seismic hazard and active tectonic evaluations. Second, it drew attention to the close association between the thrust and strike-slip faulting in Sistan, and how major intracontinental strike-slip faults can apparently terminate abruptly within deforming regions. The fault configurations that are seen in Sistan almost certainly require rotations about a vertical axis, but may be a stage on the way to a more evolved state involving partitioning of strike-slip and thrust components onto separate subparallel faults.
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**APPENDIX A: ENGINEERING ASPECTS AND STRONG GROUND MOTION**

The damage caused by the 1994 earthquakes was typical for events of this size in eastern Iran. Traditional rural houses, with sun-dried mud-brick walls and roofs of mud domes or wooden poles, collapsed or were heavily damaged. Masonry buildings with brick walls reinforced by vertical and horizontal concrete tie beams, such as the Sefidabeh primary and secondary schools, and the Sefidabeh telecommunication station (on the ridge 2 km NW of Sefidabeh), suffered only minor damage. Three spans of the 192-m-long bridge across the Rud-e-Butgow 5 km N of Sefidabeh were displaced and damaged. At the telecommunication station a microwave rack was damaged and a water tank toppled.

The earthquake sequence triggered numerous rockfalls along steep mountain slopes damaging secondary roads, especially to the telecommunication station and along Palang Kuh (lit. ‘tiger mountain’) S and SW of Sefidabeh (Fig. 4b). Spring water flow at Sefidabeh increased after the first main shock.

The first large event of 23 February triggered the SMA-1 strong-motion instrument at Neh, 80 km NW of Sefidabeh, which recorded 0.019g maximum acceleration (Building and Housing Research Center 1994). The instruments at Zabol (92 km to the east) and Zahedan (170 km to the south) were not triggered. Temporary SSA-2 and SMA-1 accelerographs were installed locally to record aftershocks (Building and Housing Research Center 1994).